









AECOM River 2D • 2D hydrodynamic model intended for use on natural streams and rivers • Developed at the University of Alberta through funding from DFO • Developed from 2001 – last updated 2010 • Tailored for Aquatic Habitat Assessment • Tailored for Aquatic Habitat Assessment





Case Study: Humber River

- July 8, 2013 – A high intensity precipitation event occurred in the Greater Toronto Area

AECOM

- Rip-rap temporary coffer dam at the Humber River rail crossing was entrained and deposited immediately downstream in the channel.
- Concern regarding potential bank erosion
- AECOM retained to determine if, and when, the deposited material would be transported downstream







AECOM

AECOM 0 Hydrodynamic Modelling Inputs Outputs • Terrain data (bathymetry, channel survey, LiDAR) • Finer the resolution the better, represented by nodes • Cross-sections 2-5m apart for this study • Substrate characterization • Required for calibration and habitat assessment • Water surface elevations • Required as an input variable and for calibration • Hydrometric data from a Water Survey of Canada gauge ~1.5 km downstream of study site to determine relevant flows

 \varnothing Conducted 18 flow simulations ranging from 2.6 m³/s (summer base flow) to 160 m³/s (~5 year return flow)





- Geomorphological Analysis Application
- Compared modelled bed shear stresses to critical shear stress of deposited material:
 - **Deposit A:** starts to become entrained at 160 $\mbox{m}^3\mbox{/s}$ (~5 year return event)
 - **Deposit B**: starts to become entrained at 20 m³/s and the majority of the deposit is entrained during the 2 year flow event (~110 m³/s)

• Verified potential influence on bank erosion:

- At base to mean annual flows, some velocity vectors point towards the river banks as Deposit B acts as a medial bar, but flows do not have the capacity to cause excess bank and bed erosion.
- During higher flow conditions, the deposited material is submerged and the velocity vectors are pointed in the downstream direction





AECOM AECOM **River 2D and PHABSIM Example: Combined Suitability Mapping** WUA = 1503 m² WUA = 1399 m² • Physical Habitat Simulation Model (PHABSIM): I SIK Combine within River 2D Suitability 0.90 0.80 PHABSIM developed by US Geological Survey mulation 0.70 PHABSIM) 0.60 · Simulates relationship between streamflow and 0.50 0.40 physical habitat using hydraulic parameters and habitat suitability criteria 0.30 0.20 0.10 • Output: Weighted Usable Area (WUA) offers quantitative assessment of habitat quality Existing Conditions Conditions Combined suitability for Adult Rainbow Darter at mean annual flow (5.7 m³/s)















AECOM



Alternatives: Limitations of River 2D

- Relatively small number of possible grid cells in model
 - Small reach capability OR
 - Model resolution has to be degraded
- Not appropriate for steep gradient channels
- Model instability
- Less widely applied by hydraulic specialists than HEC-RAS (QA/QC more challenging)



Key Messages

 2D modeling offers significant opportunities for refined and quantitative assessment of changes in physical fish habitat.

AECOM

- Wider application should be actively encouraged to develop our skill base in Canada.
- True multidisciplinary project teamwork is required.

